

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,290	09/30/2003	Hiroyuki Fujikawa	14-019	14-019 4736	
23400	7590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER		
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P		
SUITE 101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, VA 20191			3683		
			DATE MAILED, 04/20/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/673,290	FUJIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·_ ··				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer, Note the attached Office	e Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	or the certified copies not receive				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate PHEN EXAM.			

Application/Control Number: 10/673,290 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

, · '

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed 3/29/05 has been received and considered. Claim 3 is the only remaining claim pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 2000120738 ('738) in view of Japanese patent 9112606 ('606) and the Journal of Technical Disclosure '790.

Regarding claim 1 Japanese publication '738 shows a disc brake pad having two sections, as discussed in the abstract and applicant's specification at page 2, and as shown in the several embodiments.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,290

Art Unit: 3683

'738 however lacks a discussion of the respective Young's modulus and the friction coefficients of each and the showing of a slit as claimed.

Japanese patent '606 shows a brake pad comprised of substantially two friction materials 3,4 having differing friction coefficients and differing modulus' of elasticities (i.e. young's modulus).

The Journal of Technical Disclosure '790 shows a slit separating two different portions of a brake pad presumably to function as per applicant's, in light of the discussion in applicant's specification at page 3 lines 11-19.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have modified the pad of '738 such that the two pad portions shown therein exhibit differing friction coefficients and modulus' of elasticity, as taught by '606, and as claimed by applicants, and to have provided a slit as claimed, as taught by '790, simply dependent upon the wear and braking characteristics desired from the vehicle brakes. It is notoriously well known in the art to make a pad, having singular or plural components, with different friction coefficients to offset the effects of wear or to create a desired braking force.

The size requirement of the slit would have been obvious through routine experimentation and testing of the pad, or simply for easier installation of the pads onto the backing plate.

To have made one of the friction members protrude outward more than the other in '738, as modified, and to have varied the frictional coefficients of the respective portions of the brake pads by the claimed amount would have been obvious dependent

Art Unit: 3683

upon the braking and/or wear characteristics desired. Note that '606 suggests protruding one of the brake pads outward more than the others in the several embodiments.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 3 have been considered but are not persuasive.

The limitation of "the friction coefficient of the second friction member is higher than that of the first friction member by at least .05" is not considered to be of patentable significance since it is known to vary the friction coefficients of different portions of the brake pad to improve wear characteristics.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,290

Art Unit: 3683

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 4/17/05